

Elizabeth J. Cabraser (State Bar No. 083151)
Barry R. Himmelstein (State Bar No. 157736)
Michael W. Sobol (State Bar No. 194857)
Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260)
Allison S. Elgart (State Bar No. 241901)
LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
275 Battery Street, 30th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
Telephone: (415) 956-1000
Facsimile: (415) 956-1008

Interim Class Counsel for MCI Class

[Additional Counsel Appear On Signature Page]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(San Francisco Division)

IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY
AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS
RECORDS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

All Class Actions Against the MCI and
Verizon Defendants; *Bready, et al. v.*
Verizon Maryland (No. 06-06313);
Chulsky, et al. v. Cellco Partnership dba
Verizon Wireless (No. 06-06570); and
Riordan, et al. v. Verizon Communications
(No. 06-3574)

MDL Docket No. 06-1791 (VRW)

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING
SCHEDULE AND HEARING DATE FOR
VERIZON MOTIONS TO DISMISS**

Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor
Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker

1 **STIPULATION**

2 WHEREAS, by order dated March 22, 2007, the Court granted the Government's
3 opposed motion to extend and set a briefing and hearing schedule on dispositive motions in cases
4 asserting claims against the Verizon defendants. (Dkt. No. 217);

5 WHEREAS, on April 20, 2007, the United States filed an unclassified
6 memorandum in support of its motion to dismiss or for summary judgment on state secrets
7 grounds (Dkt. Nos. 253-257);

8 WHEREAS, on April 30, 2007, the Verizon defendants filed a motion to dismiss
9 the Master Consolidated Complaint (Dkt. No. 125); a motion to dismiss the *Chulsky, Riordan,*
10 and *Bready* complaints (Dkt. No. 270-271); and a motion to dismiss for lack of personal
11 jurisdiction (Dkt. No. 268-269);

12 WHEREAS, under the schedule set by the Court in its March 22, 2007 Order,
13 Plaintiffs have until May 24, 2007, to file their oppositions to the foregoing motions;

14 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs desire additional time to respond to the foregoing motions,
15 which would require an adjustment of the June 21, 2007 hearing date;

16 WHEREAS the Government and Verizon Defendants previously sought to work
17 cooperatively on a schedule in the Verizon cases and are willing to consent to this requested
18 extension;

19 WHEREAS, the parties are advised that the Court is not available to hear the
20 foregoing motions at a later date until August 9, 2007, and is not available to hear the foregoing
21 motions on August 23, 2007; and

22 WHEREAS, counsel for the Verizon defendants and the United States have
23 scheduling conflicts that preclude resetting the hearings for August 9, 2007 or the week of 13-17,
24 2007;

25 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, SUBJECT TO APPROVAL**
26 **OF THE COURT, THAT:**

27 (1) Plaintiffs shall have until June 22, 2007 to file their oppositions to the
28 foregoing motions;

1 (2) The Verizon defendants and the United States shall have until August 3,
2 2007 to file their reply briefs in support of the foregoing motions;

3 (3) The foregoing motions will be heard on August 30, 2007;

4 (4) The United States' administrative motion for leave to file a 58-page
5 redacted opening brief in support of its motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 258) is granted, *nunc pro*
6 *tunc*;

7 (5) The MCI and Verizon class plaintiffs shall have a total of 108 pages for
8 their oppositions to the United States' motion to dismiss and the Verizon defendants' motion to
9 dismiss the Master Consolidated Complaint;

10 (6) The Verizon defendants shall have 40 pages for their reply in support of
11 their motion to dismiss the Master Consolidated Complaint;

12 (7) The page limit for the United States' reply in support of its motion to
13 dismiss will be addressed by separate stipulation or, if necessary, motion; and

14 (8) The length of other briefs shall remain as governed by applicable rules.

15 Dated: May 22, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

17
18 By: \s\ Barry R. Himmelstein

Barry R. Himmelstein
LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN &
BERNSTEIN, LLP
Interim Class Counsel for MCI Class

21
22 By: \s\ Jodi W. Flowers

Jodi W. Flowers
MOTLEY RICE, LLC
Interim Class Counsel for Verizon Class

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: \s\ Ann Brick
Ann Brick
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN
CALIFORNIA
Attorneys for Plaintiffs in *Riordan, et al. v.*
Verizon Communications, Inc.

By: \s\ John A. Rogovin
John A. Rogovin
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE
AND DORR LLP
Attorneys for Verizon Defendants

By: \s\ Anthony J. Coppolino
Anthony J. Coppolino
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Attorneys for the United States of America

By: \s\ Joshua G. Whitaker
Joshua G. Whitaker
GRIFFIN WHITAKER LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs in *Bready, et al. v.*
Verizon Maryland, Inc.

By: \s\ David H. Sternlieb
David H. Sternlieb
SHAPIRO & STERNLIEB, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs in *Chulsky, et al. v.*
Cellco Partnership dba Verizon Wireless

Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the
filing of this document has been obtained from Jodi W. Flowers, Ann Brick, John A. Rogovin,
Anthony J. Coppolino, Joshua G. Whitaker, and David H. Sternlieb.

PROPOSED ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation (1) – (8), IT IS SO ORDERED. The motions that are the subject of the stipulation will be heard on August 30, 2007 at 2:00 p.m.

Dated: ~~May~~ August, 2007

August 9, 2007

Hon. Vaughn R. Walker
United States District Chief Judge

